

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,059	12/30/2003	Robert R. Scott	7678.815	1212
22913	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER			WILSON, JOHN J	
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7.6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,059	SCOTT, ROBERT I	R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Wilson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
• •	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE A MONTH	C) OD TUIDTY (20)	NDANO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 December 2003</u> is/a		ed to by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage
application from the International Bureau		• _	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	450)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/8/04 11/3 / 04.	5)		152)

Application/Control Number: 10/749,059

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-14, 16, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al (2002/0133970). Gordon shows a dental curing light, elongated housing 204, 206, electronic circuitry 212, metal heat sink 208 and 316 extending in the housing, Fig. 9, and a polymer based heat sink 214 extending through an additional portion of the housing, radial as shown. The specific location to the different heat sink materials is an obvious matter of choice in the location of known materials used to obtain known results, that is, in order to dissipated heat to the desired areas. As to claim 4, see paragraph [0045]. As to claim 5, the specific type of material used is an obvious matter of choice in known materials to the skilled artisan. As to claim 6, see LED use at [0039]. As to claim 7, see lens, Fig. 6. As to claim 8, see external power 108, [0031]. As to claim 9, see battery [0029]. As to claim 10, see controls 28, 30. As to claims 11 and 12, see Fig. 9. As to claim 13, Gordon teaches the alternative of using thermally conductive epoxy or metal in the grip 202 [0044].

Claims 2, 3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al (2002/0133970) as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of Becker (2003/0081430). Gordon shows heat sink 316, however, does not show insulating between the

metal heat sink and the housing. Becker teaches using an air gap between the heat sink 60 and the casing [0029]. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gordon to include insulating with an air gap as shown by Becker in order to not dissipate excessive heat to the handle.

Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al (2002/0133970) as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of Martin et al (5213103). Gordon shows a heat conducting polymer, however, does not state the type, and in specific, does not state that it includes heat conducting particles. Martin teaches using epoxy containing heat conducting particles, column 4, lines 3-6. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gordon to include heat conducting particles as shown by Martin in order better dissipate heat.

Drawings

The drawings filed December 30, 2003 have been found to be acceptable by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached at 571-272-4720). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jjw October 6, 2005